REFINED WEB SOLUTIONS

The No-BS Guide to Switching from Windows to Linux

What they won't tell you about leaving Windows, choosing a distribution, and actually making the switch.

refinedwebsolutions.com

Hand-coded on MX Linux | Huntsville, Texas

Contents

CHAPTER 01

Why Linux Matters More Than Ever

The Windows 10 graveyard and the subscription trap

On October 14, 2025, Microsoft ended free security support for Windows 10. That date turned roughly 240 million computers into liability machines overnight. Not because the hardware failed. Not because the processors stopped working. Because Microsoft drew a line in the silicon and said: if your computer does not have a TPM 2.0 chip and an 8th-generation Intel processor or newer, you are done.

Think about that number for a second. 240 million. That is more than the entire population of Brazil. Canalys, the research firm that published the estimate, pointed out that if those machines were stacked, the pile would stretch past the moon. The environmental group Repair.EU estimated the transition could produce over a billion pounds of electronic waste. All from computers that were working the day before the deadline.

Microsoft's official guidance to people who owned these machines was to recycle them. They sent emails to Windows 10 users suggesting they sell their old PCs or take them to local recycling centers. The message was clear: buy something new.

The Hardware Is Fine. The Software Got Greedy.

A 2017 laptop with a 7th-gen Intel i5, 8 GB of RAM, and a 256 GB SSD can still browse the web, write documents, handle email, stream video, and run a small business. The hardware has not degraded. The processor did not forget how to process. But Windows 11 will not install on it without workarounds because it lacks a specific security chip that Microsoft decided was mandatory.

Meanwhile, Windows 10 itself has become bloated beyond recognition. The operating system that shipped in 2015 and the version running in 2025 share a name and not much else. A decade of feature additions, background telemetry, forced Cortana integration, OneDrive syncing you never asked for, and dozens of services that start at boot whether you need them or not have turned it into a resource hog. On a machine with 4 GB of RAM, Windows 10 can consume nearly half of it before you open a single application.

This is the part that matters: the computer did not slow down. The operating system got heavier. And when it got too heavy for hardware that was still perfectly capable, Microsoft's answer was not to make the software lighter. It was to tell you the hardware is obsolete.

The Subscription Trap

This is not limited to operating systems. The entire software industry has shifted to a model where you pay monthly for things you used to own outright. Microsoft Office went from a one-time purchase to a $100-per-year

subscription. Adobe Creative Suite went from a box you bought to a $55-per-month rental. Even simple utilities, note-taking apps, and PDF editors now charge recurring fees for features that worked fine as standalone software ten years ago.

The pattern is consistent. A company builds something useful, sells it to you, then takes it away and rents it back. If you stop paying, your files become harder to access, your workflows break, and you are pushed toward the next subscription tier. Your data sits in their cloud, formatted for their tools, locked behind their login.

Linux exists outside this model entirely. The operating system is free. The office suite is free. The image editor, the video editor, the email client, the web browser, the file manager, the text editor, the terminal, the backup tools, the system monitor, the firewall. All of it. Free, as in cost, and free as in freedom. No subscriptions. No licensing keys that expire. No features locked behind a paywall. No company that can decide your software stops working because you did not renew.

> *"Microsoft did not declare 240 million computers obsolete because the hardware failed. They declared them obsolete because the business model required it."*

Why This Guide Exists

This is not a 400-page manual. This is a focused guide for people who are tired of being told their computers are broken when they are not, tired of renting software they used to own, and tired of operating systems that work against them instead of for them.

You do not need to be technical. You do not need to know what a kernel is. You need to understand three things: why the choice matters, what your options are, and how to make the move without losing anything important. That is what the next four chapters cover.

CHAPTER 02

Not All Linux Is Created Equal

The philosophy behind Debian, Ubuntu, Fedora, and Arch

When most people hear the word Linux, they think of a single thing. One operating system, probably with a penguin logo, used by programmers and people who enjoy making their own lives difficult. That is wrong in every way that matters.

Linux is a kernel. A kernel is the core of an operating system, the piece that talks to your hardware. Everything built on top of that kernel, the desktop, the software installer, the update system, the default applications, is chosen and assembled by different groups of people with different priorities. Those assemblies are called distributions. And the differences between them are not cosmetic. They reflect fundamentally different ideas about who your computer should serve.

Choosing a distribution is the single most important decision you will make when switching to Linux. Pick the wrong one and you trade Microsoft's control for a different company's control. Pick the right one and your computer belongs to you in a way it has not since you first took it out of the box.

Debian: The Constitution

Debian is the oldest major distribution still in active use. It was founded in 1993 and it is governed by an actual written constitution. There is no company behind it. There are no shareholders. There is no CEO who can wake up one morning and decide to inject advertisements into your terminal or force a proprietary software store onto your machine.

Every decision in Debian goes through a democratic process. Developers vote. Changes are debated publicly. The project's Social Contract, published for anyone to read, commits to keeping the system entirely free and putting users first. If the project leadership tried to implement telemetry or advertising, the community would fork the project the same day. That is not hypothetical. It has happened in the Linux world before, and the threat of it is what keeps community projects honest.

Debian Stable is exactly what the name suggests. It is thoroughly tested, rarely breaks, and does not change underneath you without warning. The tradeoff is that software versions can be older than what you find on other distributions. You get reliability instead of novelty. For most people, that is the right deal.

Distributions built on Debian inherit its package system and its stability. Linux Mint Debian Edition (LMDE) and MX Linux both run on Debian's foundation, which means they get the governance protections without the steeper learning curve of running Debian directly.

Ubuntu: The Cautionary Tale

Ubuntu is the distribution most people encounter first. It has the biggest marketing budget, the most name recognition, and it shows up at the top of nearly every beginner recommendation list on the internet. It is also the clearest example of how corporate incentives can corrupt an open source project.

Ubuntu is made by Canonical Ltd., a private company. Canonical makes money by selling enterprise support and cloud services, but their decisions about the desktop operating system increasingly prioritize revenue over user freedom.

The Snap package system is the most obvious example. Canonical created a proprietary backend for software installation that only they control. On Ubuntu, certain applications like Firefox and Chromium are only available as Snap packages. You cannot choose a different format. This is identical to the Apple App Store model: one company controls what software you can install and how it gets delivered. The Snap backend is closed source, meaning nobody outside Canonical can audit what it does or how it works.

That alone should give you pause. But the pattern goes further. In 2013, Ubuntu shipped with Amazon search integration built into the desktop, sending your local file searches to Amazon's servers to generate affiliate revenue. They reversed it after public outrage, but never acknowledged it as a privacy violation. In 2023, Canonical began inserting advertisements for their paid Ubuntu Pro subscription directly into the command-line update process. When you run a security update on your system, you get a sales pitch.

Ubuntu collects system data by default and asks you to opt out rather than opt in. This is the same approach Microsoft takes with Windows telemetry, and it reflects the same underlying philosophy: the user's attention and data belong to the company unless the user actively fights to reclaim them.

> The Linux Mint team refused to ship Snap support at all. They called it a backdoor. When the people who build a downstream distribution reject your software delivery system on principle, that tells you something about the system.

Fedora: The Enterprise Proving Ground

Red Hat, now owned by IBM, takes a different approach to making money from Linux. They sell support contracts to corporations. Their revenue comes from helping businesses run servers, not from harvesting desktop user data or locking people into app stores. This means their financial incentives are aligned with making the software good rather than making the user a product.

Fedora is Red Hat's community distribution. It functions as a testing ground for technology that eventually ends up in Red Hat Enterprise Linux. This means Fedora users get cutting-edge software before almost anyone else, and the development process is genuinely open. Decisions go through public governance channels, not

corporate boardrooms.

The tradeoff is that Fedora moves fast. Updates come frequently. Occasionally something breaks. It is not unstable in a dangerous way, but it requires more comfort with change than Debian-based systems. For developers and power users who want the latest software without compiling it themselves, Fedora is an excellent choice. For someone who just wants a computer that works and stays out of the way, the pace can be uncomfortable.

The IBM acquisition raises fair long-term questions. The CentOS Stream controversy showed that when corporate priorities conflict with community expectations, the corporation wins. Red Hat is a better steward than Canonical by a wide margin, but it is still a corporation with shareholders, and that fact should live in the back of your mind.

Arch: Build It Yourself

Arch Linux is for people who want to understand exactly what is on their computer and why. There is no installer that makes decisions for you. There is no default desktop environment. There is no preselected bundle of applications. You start with a blank system and you build it, piece by piece, choosing every component yourself.

This sounds intimidating, and for a first-time Linux user, it is. Arch is not where you start. But it is important to understand why it exists, because its philosophy represents the logical endpoint of what Linux offers: total transparency and total control.

Arch runs on a rolling release model, meaning there are no version numbers and no big upgrade events. Your system updates continuously. The software is always current. The Arch Wiki, maintained by the community, is widely considered the best documentation resource in the entire Linux ecosystem. People who run Ubuntu and Fedora read the Arch Wiki because it explains things more clearly than anyone else.

If Arch interests you, start by reading the Arch Wiki rather than installing the system. You do not need to run Arch to benefit from its community's knowledge. When you have enough experience to know what a rolling release model means for your daily workflow, you can make that call yourself.

So What Should You Actually Use?

If you are switching from Windows for the first time, use **Linux Mint Debian Edition (LMDE)** or **MX Linux**. Both are built on Debian. Both are maintained by communities, not corporations. Both provide a familiar desktop experience without any of the corporate compromise found in Ubuntu. LMDE gives you the polished Mint experience on a pure Debian base, free from Ubuntu's baggage. MX Linux gives you rock-solid stability with powerful built-in tools that keep you out of the terminal.

If you are a developer or you want the latest software, use **Fedora Workstation**. It is professional, well-maintained, and its corporate backing has not yet produced the kind of user-hostile decisions that Ubuntu

regularly makes.

Arch Linux is worth understanding as a philosophy, and the Arch Wiki is worth reading regardless of what distribution you run. But Arch itself is not a beginner recommendation. Its rolling release model means updates can break things in ways a new user is not equipped to diagnose. Learn on stable ground first. Arch will be there when you are ready for it.

> *"The right distribution is the one whose maintainers cannot betray you. Community governance is not a feature. It is a firewall."*

CHAPTER 03

The Desktop Is the Experience

GNOME, Cinnamon, XFCE, and KDE are not skins. They are philosophies.

On Windows, you get one desktop. You can change the wallpaper and the accent color. You can move the taskbar. That is about it. The layout, the workflow, the way windows behave, the start menu, the file manager, the settings panel: Microsoft decides all of it, and your only option is to accept it or install third-party tools that fight the operating system every time it updates.

On Linux, the desktop environment is a separate choice from the operating system. You can run the same underlying system with completely different interfaces. These are not themes or skins. They are entirely different programs, built by different teams, with different ideas about how a computer should work. Switching between them is like switching from an iPhone to an Android. The phone still makes calls, but everything about how you interact with it changes.

This is one of the most important choices you will make, and most beginner guides gloss over it. They tell you to pick GNOME because it looks modern or Cinnamon because it looks like Windows. That is like choosing a car based on the paint color. The desktop environment determines your workflow, your resource usage, and your daily experience. It deserves more thought than that.

GNOME: The Opinionated Workspace

GNOME is the default desktop on Fedora and several other major distributions. It is polarizing because it has strong opinions about how you should work, and it does not apologize for them.

There is no traditional taskbar. There is no start menu in the Windows sense. Instead, you get Activities, a full-screen overlay that shows all your open windows, virtual desktops, and a search bar. You launch applications by pressing the Super key (the Windows key on most keyboards) and typing the name of what you want. GNOME assumes you will learn its workflow and rewards you with speed and focus once you do.

The design philosophy is aggressive minimalism. Everything that GNOME's developers consider unnecessary has been removed. This makes it clean and distraction-free, but it also means that features you expect, like a system tray or desktop icons, are not there by default. Extensions can add them back, but the fact that you have to add back basic functionality frustrates a lot of people.

GNOME is resource-heavy compared to the other options listed here. It runs well on modern hardware, but on an older machine with 4 GB of RAM, it will feel sluggish. If you are putting Linux on aging hardware, skip GNOME.

Cinnamon: The Familiar Ground

Cinnamon is the default desktop on Linux Mint, and it exists for one reason: to give Windows users a desktop that does not require them to relearn everything on day one.

The start menu is in the bottom left. The taskbar runs along the bottom. The system tray is in the bottom right. The file manager opens with a double-click. Windows snap to the edges of the screen. Right-click gives you a context menu. If you have used any version of Windows from the last 20 years, you already know how Cinnamon works.

This is not laziness or lack of imagination. It is a deliberate design choice. The Mint team understood that the biggest barrier to switching operating systems is not the software. It is the muscle memory. People open the start menu without thinking about it. They drag windows to the side of the screen automatically. Cinnamon preserves those habits so your brain can focus on learning the new software instead of fighting a new interface at the same time.

Cinnamon is heavier than XFCE but lighter than GNOME. It runs well on any computer made in the last decade. On truly old hardware, XFCE is a better choice. But for most people switching from Windows, Cinnamon provides the smoothest transition available.

XFCE: The Workhorse

XFCE is what you put on a computer when you want the operating system to stay out of the way. It is light, fast, stable, and has been around since 1996. It does not chase trends. It does not redesign itself every two years. It works, and it keeps working, and it does not use resources doing things you did not ask for.

On a machine with 4 GB of RAM, XFCE uses around 400 MB at idle. Compare that to GNOME at 800 MB or more. That difference sounds small on paper. On older hardware, it is the difference between a responsive system and one that stutters when you open a second browser tab.

The interface looks traditional. Panel at the top or bottom, application menu, system tray, desktop icons. Everything is configurable. You can move things around, add panels, remove panels, change themes, and customize keyboard shortcuts. It does not force a workflow on you. It gives you the parts and lets you arrange them.

XFCE does not look as polished as GNOME or Cinnamon out of the box. The default themes are functional but plain. With 15 minutes of customization, it can look as good as anything else. But if you want a desktop that looks beautiful the moment you install it without any tweaking, Cinnamon or GNOME will satisfy that impulse faster.

MX Linux and Linux Mint both offer XFCE editions. If you are putting Linux on an older computer, XFCE is almost certainly the right choice.

KDE Plasma: The Everything Desktop

KDE Plasma is the opposite of GNOME's philosophy. Where GNOME removes options to enforce simplicity, KDE adds options for everything. Window decorations, panel layouts, animations, color schemes, icon sets, mouse behavior, keyboard shortcuts, window tiling rules, desktop widgets, file manager columns, toolbar positions. If something can be configured, KDE lets you configure it.

This is simultaneously its greatest strength and the reason beginners often bounce off it. The settings panels are deep, and the number of choices can be overwhelming when you just want a working desktop. KDE is at its best when you have enough experience to know what you want to change and why.

Performance has improved dramatically in recent years. KDE Plasma 6 runs nearly as lean as XFCE on modern systems, which was not true of earlier versions. It is no longer the resource hog it used to be. On reasonably modern hardware, it is an excellent choice for someone who wants total control over every aspect of their desktop experience.

> If you are switching for the first time: start with Cinnamon if you want familiar, XFCE if your hardware is old, GNOME if you are willing to learn a new workflow, and KDE if you want to customize everything. You can always switch later. The underlying system does not change.

CHAPTER 04

Easing Into the Ecosystem

What transfers, what takes adjustment, and what does not work yet

The software question is the real barrier. Nobody is afraid of a different start menu. People are afraid of losing Photoshop. Or Excel macros. Or the specific accounting program their business has run on for 12 years. Those fears are legitimate, and dismissing them does Linux no favors.

Here is an honest assessment, broken into tiers, of what you can expect when you move your daily work to Linux.

Tier 1: Things That Work Identically

If your workflow lives in a browser, you have already migrated. You just do not know it yet.

Firefox and Chrome run on Linux. They are the same applications, running the same code, rendering the same websites. Your bookmarks, extensions, saved passwords, and browser history sync across operating systems. Google Docs, Sheets, and Slides work the same. Gmail works the same. YouTube, Netflix, Spotify, and every other streaming service work the same. Discord, Slack, and most communication tools either have native Linux applications or run perfectly in the browser.

For a significant number of people, this tier covers 90 percent of their daily computer use. If your work is email, web browsing, documents in Google's suite, and video calls, the operating system underneath is already irrelevant. You just need one that does not slow you down or spy on you while you work.

Tier 2: Good Alternatives That Require Adjustment

Office Work: LibreOffice opens Word documents, Excel spreadsheets, and PowerPoint files. For standard documents, letters, budgets, invoices, and school papers, it works without issues. Formatting can shift on complex documents with heavy styling or embedded objects. If you exchange files with Windows users regularly, OnlyOffice provides higher compatibility with Microsoft's formatting. Google Docs avoids the compatibility problem entirely by keeping everything in the cloud.

> **The hard truth about Excel:** If your daily work involves complex VBA macros, PowerBI integration, or enterprise spreadsheets that pull from proprietary databases, LibreOffice is not a replacement. Those features are deeply tied to Microsoft's ecosystem. For this kind of work, dual-boot into Windows or use a virtual machine. Do not pretend the gap does not exist.

Image Editing: Krita is a professional-grade painting and illustration tool that many concept artists prefer over Photoshop for its brush engine alone. It handles digital art, photo manipulation, and graphic design work well. The workflow is different from Photoshop, and if your job requires Adobe file compatibility or CMYK print production pipelines, it falls short. For everything else, Krita is not a compromise. It is a genuine alternative that some artists choose over Adobe even when they have access to both.

Video Editing: Kdenlive handles multi-track editing, 4K footage, transitions, and effects. For YouTube content, documentation videos, and most production work, it is solid. DaVinci Resolve also has a Linux version and is used in professional film production. The gap here is smaller than most people expect.

Email: Thunderbird is arguably better than Outlook for personal email management. It handles multiple accounts, calendars, filtering rules, and encryption without data mining your inbox. The interface is mature and well-organized. If you use Exchange for corporate email, the web client (OWA) works in any browser.

Tier 3: Things That Do Not Work

Adobe Creative Cloud does not run on Linux. This is a business decision by Adobe, not a technical limitation. If your employer mandates Photoshop, Illustrator, or Premiere, you are locked into Windows or macOS. There is no workaround worth recommending.

Most competitive multiplayer games that use kernel-level anti-cheat (Valorant, some Call of Duty titles, Fortnite with Easy Anti-Cheat in certain configurations) will not run. These anti-cheat systems demand deep access to your operating system that Linux correctly blocks for security reasons. Single-player games and many multiplayer titles work well through Steam's Proton compatibility layer, but the anti-cheat problem is real and ongoing.

Certain professional and industry-specific software (QuickBooks Desktop, many legal case management systems, some medical practice software) is Windows-only. Check whether your specific tools have Linux versions or web-based alternatives before committing to a full switch.

The Smart Way to Transition

Do not wipe your hard drive on day one. That is how people bounce off Linux and go back to Windows within a week.

Start with a USB live session. Download Linux Mint or MX Linux, flash it to a USB drive with a free tool like balenaEtcher, and boot from it. The entire operating system runs from the USB stick. Nothing on your hard drive changes. You can browse the web, open files, test your printer, and get a feel for the desktop without committing to anything.

If you like what you see, install Linux alongside Windows in a dual-boot configuration. Your computer gives you a menu at startup where you choose which operating system to load. Keep Windows for the specific

applications that require it. Do your daily browsing, email, and document work in Linux. Over time, you will notice yourself booting into Windows less and less. Eventually, you may stop booting into it entirely. That decision will make itself.

The worst approach is to go all-in before you know what works and what does not. The best approach is to let Linux prove itself to you gradually, on your terms, with Windows as a safety net until you do not need it anymore.

CHAPTER 05

Where Linux Clearly Wins

Security, stability, community, and longevity

The first four chapters of this guide have been honest about what Linux cannot do. This chapter is about what it does better than anything else. These are not minor advantages. They are structural differences that compound over time, and they are the reason people who switch to Linux rarely switch back.

Security That Does Not Depend on You Being Paranoid

On Windows, you are an administrator by default. Every application you run has broad access to your system. Malware works on Windows because Windows trusts everything you open. Click the wrong email attachment, download the wrong file, and the damage is done before your antivirus even reacts.

On Linux, your user account does not have administrative privileges by default. Installing software, modifying system files, and making changes that affect the operating system all require you to explicitly grant permission with your password. This is not an inconvenience. It is a wall between a mistake and a disaster.

Software installation is handled through a package manager, a centralized, verified system that downloads applications from curated repositories. You do not go to a website, download an .exe file, and hope it is not bundled with adware. The software comes from a trusted source, verified with cryptographic signatures, and installed in a controlled way. This alone eliminates the most common malware vector on Windows.

Linux also does not need antivirus software in the traditional sense. Not because it is invulnerable, but because its security model does not rely on scanning for known threats after they arrive. It prevents unauthorized changes at the architecture level. The difference is between a house that checks visitors against a list of known criminals and a house that simply does not let strangers open the door.

Updates That Respect Your Time

Windows updates happen when Microsoft decides they happen. Your computer restarts in the middle of work. Updates install at shutdown, turning a 10-second power-off into a 20-minute wait. Critical features change without your consent. The settings menu rearranges itself between versions. Microsoft has been caught using Windows Update to install applications you did not ask for, change your default browser back to Edge, and re-enable telemetry settings you specifically turned off.

Linux updates when you tell it to. You get a notification that updates are available. You choose when to install them. The overwhelming majority of updates do not require a reboot, and the ones that do (kernel updates) let you decide when that reboot happens. No forced restarts. No mystery installations. No settings that revert

behind your back.

The update process itself is faster because it only updates what changed. A typical Linux system update takes one to three minutes. A typical Windows feature update takes 20 to 45 minutes and occasionally breaks things that were working before.

Longevity

This is where Linux makes its strongest case, and it is the entire reason this guide exists.

A computer running Linux does not slow down over time the way Windows machines do. There is no registry that accumulates garbage. There is no background service creep from applications that install helper processes at boot. There is no gradual degradation from telemetry services, indexing services, cloud sync services, and antivirus scanning all competing for resources.

A Linux installation that is fast on day one is fast on day 1,000. The system does not rot. This means a computer that runs Linux can stay in service for years longer than the same hardware running Windows, not because you are tolerating poor performance, but because the performance does not degrade.

The environmental and financial implications are significant. If you extend the life of a laptop by four years instead of replacing it, that is $400 to $800 you did not spend and one less device contributing to the 50 million tons of electronic waste generated globally each year. Multiply that across the 240 million PCs that Microsoft abandoned and the numbers become staggering.

Community Over Corporation

When something goes wrong on Windows, you file a support ticket and wait. Or you search the internet and find a Microsoft support page that tells you to run a troubleshooter that does not fix the problem. Or you pay for a support call. The relationship between you and Microsoft is transactional, and they have no incentive to make your old hardware work better because they would rather sell you new hardware with a new Windows license.

When something goes wrong on Linux, you search the internet and find forum posts from people who had the exact same problem and solved it. You find wiki articles that explain not just the fix but why the problem happened. You can ask questions in community forums where the people who answer are volunteers who use the same software you do. They are not paid to sell you anything. They help because they remember being new.

The Arch Wiki. The Linux Mint forums. The Debian documentation. The Fedora community channels. These resources are maintained by thousands of people who contribute because they believe the software should work and the documentation should be clear. No support ticket. No hold music. No subscription required.

What This Adds Up To

Linux is not perfect. This guide has been upfront about the gaps. Adobe does not support it. Some games will not run. Certain professional workflows remain locked to Windows. Those are real limitations.

But for the vast majority of people who use a computer for browsing, email, documents, media, and communication, Linux provides a faster, more secure, more private, more stable, and more durable experience than Windows. It does this without charging you a cent, without collecting your data, without pushing subscriptions, and without telling you your hardware is obsolete when it is not.

If you have read this far, you are not the kind of person who needs to be told what to do. You need the information to make your own decision. You now have it.

Get Started

Download **MX Linux** (mxlinux.org) or **Linux Mint Debian Edition** (linuxmint.com/download_lmde.php). Flash it to a USB drive with balenaEtcher. Boot from the USB. Try it without installing anything. If you like it, install it alongside Windows. Let it prove itself.

For step-by-step installation guides, network security hardening, and more in-depth coverage of everything discussed here, visit The Switch to Linux at **refinedwebsolutions.com/blog**.

> This guide is published by **Refined Web Solutions, LLC** in Huntsville, Texas. We build fast, lightweight websites on open-source infrastructure and help small businesses migrate to systems that respect their ownership. If you need a hand with any of this, reach out at **contact@refinedwebsolutions.com** or call **(936) 337-2945**.